Strategic Computing and Communications Technology

CS 294, EE 290X, IS 290, BA 296 Spring 98

Standards wars

Hal R. Varian

Examples

- RR gauges
- Edison v Westinghouse
- NBC v CBS in color TV
- 3Com v Rockwell/Lucent

2

Classification of wars

	Compatible	Incompatible
Compatible	Rival Evolution	Evolution v Revolution
Incompatible	Revolution v Evolution	Rival Revolution

3

Recent standards wars

- AM stereo
 - auto industry invested, radio didn't
- Digital wireless phones
 - Europe: GSM
 - US: GSM, TDMA (cousin of GSM), CDMA
 - TDMA: 5 millionCDMA: 2.5 millionGSM: 1 million

4

Standards wars

- Ericsson (TDMA) has AT&T, SBC , Bellsouth
- Qualcom (CDMA) has Bell Atlantic, US West, etc
 - performance play strategy
- How big are the network externalities?
 - Geographic scope
 - investment is sunk, systems interconnect

5

Standards wars, cont

- 56K modems
 - US Robotics x2 attempted preemption
 - Rockwell/Lucent K56 Flex
 - expectations management, switching costs
 - settled Dec 97: estimated will triple size of market

6

Key assets

- Control over an installed base
- · Intellectual property rights
- · Ability to innovate
- First-mover advantages
- · Manufacturing
- Strength in complements
- · Reputation and brand name

7

Two basic tactics

- Preemption
 - build installed base early
 - but watch out for rapid technological progress
- · Expectations management
 - manage expectations
 - but watch out for vaporware

8

Once you've won

- · Stay on guard
 - Minitel
- · Offer a migration path
- Commoditize complementary products
 - _ Intel
- Competing against your own installed base
 - Intel again
 - durable goods monopoly

9

Once you've won, cont

- Attract important complementors
- · Leverage installed base
 - expand network geographically
- · Stay a leader
 - develop proprietary extensions

10

What if you fall behind?

- · Adapters and interconnection
 - Wordperfect
 - Borland v Lotus
 - translators, etc
- · Survival pricing
 - hard to pull off
 - different from penetration pricing
- · Legal approaches
 - Sun v Microsoft 1

Microsoft v Netscape

- · Rival evolutions
- Low switching costs
- Small network externalites
- · Strategies
 - Preemption
 - Penetration pricing
 - Expectations management
 - Alliances

12